



NED University of Engineering & Technology
Department of Computer Science and Information Technology
CT-499 Final Year Design Project

Grading of FYDP Proposal (7th/Fall Semester) (Weightage - 6%)

Project ID: _____

Project Title: _____

S. No	Student Name	Seat No.	I Problem Identification and Objectives (3)	II Relevance to SDGs (3)	III Proposed Methodology (3)	IV Work Plan (3)	Weighted Average Score (12)
			PLO-3 (%)	PLO-8 (%)	PLO-4 (%)	PLO-6 (%)	
1							
2							
3							
4							

Use Rubric FYDP-OBE-01 for each student.

Average percentage score from the rubrics filled by supervisor and examiner shall be placed in the above table.

Weighted Average Score = [PLO-3 (%) *3+ PLO-8 (%) *3+ PLO-4 (%) *3+ PLO-6 (%) *3]/100

Head of FYDP Steering Committee

NED University of Engineering & Technology
Department of Computer Science and Information Technology
 CT-499 Final Year Design Project

Rubric for Project Proposal (7th/Fall Semester) (Weightage - 6%)

Project ID: _____

Student Name: _____

Seat No.: _____

Criterion	Levels of Attainment (%)				
	Unacceptable (0)	Just acceptable (25)	Average (50)	Good (75)	Excellent (100)
I Problem Identification and Objectives	The problem statement is not given and/or objective is not stated at all.	The problem statement and objectives are not suitably described.	The problem statement and objectives are just described.	The problem statement and objectives are clearly described.	The problem statement and objectives are well-structured and clearly described.
II Relevance to SDGs	No relevance to SDGs established.	Some relevance to SDGs without any clear link.	Reasonable consideration of SDGs and their linkage with the proposed work.	Clear relevance between the project work and SDGs established.	Relevance to SDGs is clearly established with appropriate consideration for relevant SDGs.
III Proposed Methodology	Not defined in the proposal.	Proposed methodology is marginally practical and relevant to the project objectives.	Proposed methodology is reasonably practical and relevant to the project objectives.	Proposed methodology is technically practical and relevant to the project objectives.	Proposed methodology is well-structured and highly relevant to the project objectives.
IV Work Plan	Does not have a timeline for different phases of the project.	Has developed a timeline but cannot clearly describe the different activities of the work and corresponding timelines.	Has developed a timeline and can describe the different activities of the work and corresponding timelines with minimal prompting from peers.	Has developed a timeline describing when most parts of the work will be done. Student can describe the different activities of the work and corresponding timelines.	Developed a reasonable, complete timeline describing when different parts of the work will be done. Student can clearly and confidently describe the timeline with milestones.

Evaluator's Signature